## Case 5:09-cr-00258-RMW Document 4 Filed 03/02/09 Page 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

ADJUMED OF AMERICA, DI		Core Name of the 70001DG
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Pla	intiff,	Case Number <u>09-mj-70201RS</u>
v. JOSE IGNACIO ORDAZ-CABRERA	, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 2, 2009. Defendant		
• • •	y <u>Nick Humy</u> AFPD. The	e United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney Chad
<u>Mandell</u> .		
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE		
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted		
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,		
* * * *	as elapsed since the date	of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.	resumention that no condi	tion or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety		
of any other person and the community.  / / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant		
has committed an offense		
	naximum term of imprise	onment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
<del></del>	951 et seq., or § 955a et	
B. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony.  This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assurable.		
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community		
No presumption applies.	·	MAR - 2 7009
PART II REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE BICHARD W WHEN IN		
/ / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the defendant has not come forward with the defendant has not come for the defen		
therefore will be ordered detained.		
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: .		
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.		
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)		
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will		
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR		
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will		
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.		
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION  / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at		
the hearing and finds as follows:  Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.		
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION		
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a		
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.		
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the		
United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the		
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.		
<b>\</b> 1		
0   100		IN Um
Dated: 3 2 09		
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	HOW.	ARID R. LLOYD /

HOWARID R. LLOYD
United States/Magistrate Judge

AUSA \_\_\_, ATTY \_\_\_\_, PTS \_\_\_